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ABSTRACT
Measurement of cerebrospinal fluid pressure through lumbar puncture (LP) manometry is an essential practical skill all paediatricians should possess competency in. The ability to perform manometry is crucial in the diagnosis of idiopathic intracranial hypertension and can provide critical information on raised (or lowered) intracranial pressure in other clinical scenarios. Practitioners should be familiar with the procedure and in particular with equipment available to them locally. In this article, we will describe an approach to LP manometry. The online supplemental material includes an instructional video as well as supporting practical information.

INTRODUCTION
Alongside introduction of lumbar puncture (LP) in the late 19th century by Heinrich Quincke emerged a variety of techniques to measure the pressure of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) obtained. Apparatus resembling modern equipment with a three-way tap began to be introduced by the 1910s.

The procedure has in essence remained unchanged for a century; a vertical hollow tube, open at its upper end, is connected via a valve to the LP needle. CSF fills the manometer until equilibrium in pressure between the CSF in the lumbar subarachnoid space and in the manometer is reached.

The height of the CSF column within the manometer gives the ‘opening pressure’, which we will call ‘CSF pressure’ for convenience. This is an estimate of intracranial pressure (ICP); discussion of how ICP relates to CSF pressure is beyond the scope of this article; figure 1 illustrates the principles.

Table 1 summarises accepted normal values, measured with the patient in the lateral decubitus position.

When is LP manometry useful?
Knowledge of CSF pressure can add critical information in a variety of clinical scenarios (table 2), and thus manometry should be considered in any LP. Raised CSF pressure is potentially measurable before secondary signs (eg, papilloedema) present.

PERFORMING LP MANOMETRY
Preprocedure

Ensure locally agreed procedural policies and preprocedure checklists (online supplemental appendix 1).

− Consider following WHO Safe Surgery principles and every procedure, with a team briefing, sign in, time out, sign out and debriefing.

− Discuss informed consent with the patient and person holding parental responsibility (box 1). Ensure no contraindications to LP (box 2).

− Use appropriate sedation or analgesia, but be aware that moderate sedation raises ICP. Nitrous oxide (Entonox) increases ICP unrelated to changes in breathing. If used during placement of the LP needle, stop giving nitrous oxide prior to manometry.

− Aim for stable end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO2) within a consistent normal range when general anaesthesia (GA) is used. In one study, measured CSF pressure was found to rise by 3.5–12.0 cmH2O per 1 kPa change in EtCO2; GA itself may additionally elevate CSF pressure.

− Paired blood glucose is commonly taken immediately prior to LP to avoid its false elevation from procedural stress. However, evidence to support or refute this hypothesis is scarce.

− In the author’s opinion, the most practical time to take paired blood samples is just before LP, unless the patient is likely to become too distressed by phlebotomy.

Equipment preparation

Ensure all equipment is set up in a sterile field prior to bringing the patient. Maintain aseptic technique throughout the procedure.

− Although larger needle diameter increases the risk of post-LP headache, to ensure sufficiently rapid CSF flow for manometry,

...
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Table 2 When is lumbar puncture manometry useful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Why measuring CSF pressure is useful</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic intracranial hypertension</td>
<td>Essential for diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial hypotension</td>
<td>If raised, can facilitate pre-emptive management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>May support diagnosis if raised and enables pre-emptive treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalitis including autoimmune encephalitides</td>
<td>May support diagnosis if raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral venous thrombosis</td>
<td>May support diagnosis if raised and enables pre-emptive treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

– Be certain that the manometer set and LP needle fit together before starting, even if this means opening another set. Keep it for teaching later!

Positioning

► Ensure your patient is comfortable lying in the lateral decubitus position with the bed horizontal.

– If necessary, patients can be sat upright for LP needle placement, then carefully transferred to the lateral position. A sitting position may increase the interspinous space, raising chances of a successful LP,12 but CSF pressure in this position cannot be interpreted, and there is a risk of dislodging the needle while changing position.

► Keep the neck neutral; neck flexion does not increase the interspinous distance12 and may reduce venous return from the head, increasing ICP.2

► Excessive hip flexion may increase the intra-abdominal pressure and raise ICP; performing Valsalva manoeuvres (eg, coughing) has a similar effect.13 Conversely, hip extension may reduce CSF pressure by a small amount (typically less than 5 cmH2O).14

– Consider beginning with the patient’s hips flexed to ease palpation of interspinous spaces, and then...

Box 1 Complications of LP

Complications to discuss in seeking consent for LP manometry and estimated incidence (predominantly adult data)


► Back pain following (and during) procedure (~2:10,9 risk significantly increases with more than four LP attempts8).

► Failure of LP and need to repeat (~1:109).

► Transient nerve root irritation (~1:109).

► Infection (less than 1:1008).

► Bleeding, for example, spinal and subdural haematoma (less than 1:1000 to 1:200 000; risks increased with larger needle gauge, multiple needle insertions and presence of coagulopathy22).

► Cerebral herniation (very low in the absence of signs of raised intracranial pressure23).

There are no specific additional risks to performing manometry.

LP, lumbar puncture.
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Box 2 Contraindications to LP

- Space-occupying lesion with mass effect including posterior fossa mass.
- Clinical features of raised ICP (consider proceeding, with caution, if neuroimaging excludes impairment of ICP circulation and following assessment by an experienced clinician).
  - Pupillary dilatation (unilateral or bilateral).
  - Reduced pupillary light reflex.
  - Bradycardia.
  - Hypertension.
  - Altered breathing pattern.
  - Decorticate/decerebrate posturing.
- Thrombocytopenia.
  - Evidence is lacking to support specific LP platelet thresholds. Guidance from the British Society of Haematology suggests >40×10⁹/L is acceptable (based largely on limited studies in stable children with haematological malignancy), but in the context of suspected meningitis, disseminated intravascular coagulation and shock or sepsis, a higher threshold of >100×10⁹/L is advised.
- Abnormal blood coagulation.
  - A coagulation profile should be checked in patients with suspected increased risk of bleeding, for example, with a personal or family history of bleeding, in the context of sepsis and haematological disorders, as well as in suspected renal or liver failure.
  - Discuss patients with abnormal coagulation (including those with a clinically suspected bleeding disorder but normal coagulation profile) and patients taking anticoagulant medication with a haematology/clotting specialist before LP.
- Infection over or around LP site.
- Chiari malformation (may be safe to proceed with review of neuroimaging).
- Lumbar spine structural anomalies (eg, spina bifida).
- Acute or focal neurological deficit (relative contraindication — consider neuroimaging first).
- Respiratory insufficiency.
- Deteriorating or fluctuating GCS, or GCS score of ≤8.
- At presentation with
  - Clinical evidence of systemic meningococcal disease.
  - Shock.
  - Seizures.
  - Following initial treatment and stabilisation with assessment by an experienced clinician (and if required neuroimaging), it may later be appropriate to proceed with LP.

GCS, Glasgow coma scale; ICP, intracranial pressure; LP, lumbar puncture.

carefully extending the legs once the needle is sited. Beware the risk it may move out of place during this movement!

In patients who may require a subsequent LP manometry, adopt and document a replicable position to ensure the consistency of measurements.

Figure 2 Three-way tap attached to a spinal manometer. The arrows on the three-way tap indicate the direction of free flow. With the “OFF” arm pointing rightwards as shown, CSF is free to flow from the LP needle (once attached via the Luer slip connector seen here on the left) up into the manometer column.

- Ensure adequate exposure of the lower back; remove or fold down clothing to ensure no obstruction to the procedure or contamination of the sterile field. Remove topical anaesthetic.

Lumbar puncture

- Clean the patient’s lower back with an appropriate solution following local practices and allow time for this to dry.
- Fix sterile drapes or towels to create a sterile working field.
- Identify the intended LP site—usually the L4–L5 or L3–L4 interspace.
  - The L4 spinous process approximately aligns with an imaginary line joining the superior margins of the iliac crests posteriorly (Tuffier’s line) (figure 3).
- If using local anaesthesia (eg, lidocaine without epinephrine 1%, 0.3 mL/kg based on ideal body weight, up to a maximum of 20 mL), infiltrate the subcutaneous tissue and deeper fascial tissue as appropriate, depending on the size of the patient, using a needle and syringe. The syringe should always be aspirated prior to injection to rule out accidental vascular or dural puncture. Allow time for the anaesthetic to act and warn the patient that it may cause a brief tingling sensation.
- After a final check (“time out”) that the patient, team and equipment are ready, insert the LP needle (or introducer
followed by an atraumatic needle), aiming in the midline, slightly rostrally towards the umbilicus.

- Avoid touching the shaft of the needle to maximise sterility; it can sometimes, however, be useful to place a finger near to the hub in order to help stabilise the needle.
- Keeping the bevel of the needle perpendicular to the direction of the spinal column will encourage separation of the longitudinal fibres of the dura mater rather than dividing across them, reducing the risk of post-LP headache or CSF leak.7

► Advance the LP needle until the subarachnoid space is reached.
- There may be a subtle reduction in resistance to advancing the needle, but this can be hard to feel in some children.
► Gradually advance the needle and keep checking whether the CSF space is entered by partially removing the stylet to check for drainage of water-like CSF or blood-tinged CSF.
- It may take a few seconds for CSF to appear in the needle hub. If flow is slow, consider rotating the needle to adjust the orientation of the bevel through which CSF enters.
► If no fluid appears, continue advancing until bony resistance is felt or pure blood is obtained, at which point gradual withdrawal of the needle can be attempted to see if CSF is obtained. If still unsuccessful, replace the stylet and consider a further attempt.
- In the authors’ experience, the most common reasons for failure to obtain CSF are using a site too low (typically below L5) and incorrect positioning of the patient.
► If CSF is seen, fully remove the stylet (keeping it safely on the sterile field) and quickly connect the three-way tap of the assembled manometer to the needle hub.
► Orient the manometer column so that it is perpendicular in two planes to the ground - that is, pointing directly upwards and not angled diagonally relative to the ground (figure 4A).

**Manometry**

► With the three-way tap open to the patient and the manometer (figure 4A), CSF will start filling the manometer column. The level at which the CSF meniscus stabilises should be recorded as the opening pressure to the nearest 0.5 cmH₂O (figure 5).
- Additional manometer columns may be necessary to record pressures greater than 40 cmH₂O. An assistant with sterile gloves can stabilise these.
- The meniscus will normally appear pulsatile; this reflects changes in CSF pressure with breathing and the cardiac cycle.

► To measure the CSF pressure most accurately, the opening pressure should be observed and readings repeated over a period of time. Short-term monitoring over at least 20 min and recording the mean average pressure may provide more reliable CSF pressure measurement.15
- This process may be time-consuming and not feasible for all patients—aim to record for as long as is practical and interpret the results in the wider clinical context. We discuss monitoring of dynamic CSF pressure further in online supplemental appendix 2.

► Collect CSF samples—first empty the manometer (figure 4B), then either remove the manometer assembly and collect samples from the LP needle as usual, or leave it attached and close the three-way tap to the manometer (figure 4C).
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Box 3  How much CSF can be safely collected?

In adults, with a typical cranial CSF volume of at least 150 mL, it has been suggested that collection of up to 30 mL is safe; CSF is synthesised at a rate around 0.35 mL/min, and so this volume will be replaced within a few hours at most.

By contrast, the total cranial CSF volume of neonates is around 40 mL at term, rapidly increasing in the first 1–2 years of life. There are no studies examining the maximum volume of CSF which can safely be taken from a neonate or infant, outside the context of hydrocephalus.

Beyond the age of around 2 years, MRI studies suggest cranial CSF volume is similar to adults, and thus collection of 30 mL is unlikely to be problematic.

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

Box 4  Target closing pressure in IIH

IIH is a condition with raised ICP without a structural cause (eg, tumour or hydrocephalus) and normal CSF composition and can be defined by Friedman’s diagnostic criteria. It is also known as pseudotumour cerebri syndrome and was previously called benign intracranial hypertension. Patients typically present with headaches and may have visual symptoms and signs on examination, typically including papilloedema, with an otherwise normal neurological examination.

When performing an LP for diagnosis of IIH, if CSF pressure is found to be raised, aim for a closing pressure within the normal range (eg, between 20 cmH₂O and 25 cmH₂O in most children above the age of 1 year).

Some clinicians suggest not reducing pressure to less than 30 cmH₂O if CSF pressure is exceptionally high (ie, greater than 60 cmH₂O) to avoid risks of post-LP headaches, but this is not evidence-based. There is no agreed position on the management of such patients who are symptomatic for IIH but are found to have normal opening pressure.

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ICP, intracranial pressure; IIH, idiopathic intracranial hypertension; LP, lumbar puncture.

- In patients who are likely to move while collecting CSF, removing the manometer at this time may reduce the risk of accidentally dislodging the LP needle. Take care not to move the needle when re-attaching the manometer.
- CSF neurotransmitters must be collected before manometry.

Following sample collection, measure the closing pressure using the same method as for the opening pressure (Figure 4A)

- Take care to ensure the actual meniscus is measured rather than from air bubbles or any fluid that remained in the manometer.

- If the closing pressure is higher than desired, then remove further CSF in 2.5–10.0 mL volumes incrementally (Box 3) using the same method as for CSF test samples. Repeat closing pressure measurement
and repeat until the target closing pressure is achieved (box 4).

**Postprocedure management**
- Detach the manometry assembly; replace the stylet and remove the LP needle, with application of a sterile dressing as per local policies.
- Fully document patient position and other factors which may have influenced CSF pressure (eg, sedation, blood pressure, PCO₂, and psychoactive medications). Document the opening and closing pressures along with the volume of CSF removed.
- Evidence does not support that bed rest, laying flat, consumption of fluids or caffeine will reduce risks of post-LP complications.²
- Arrange appropriate management and follow-up, depending on the LP findings and clinical scenario.

**CONCLUSION**

LP manometry in a cooperative patient is a straightforward addition to a standard LP, which can provide invaluable insight to a patient’s underlying diagnosis.

To carry out the procedure well takes practice. We would recommend that practitioners attempt manometry observing this, or similar guidance, in their ‘routine’ LPs, so that when pressure measurement is critical for diagnosis (such as in idiopathic intracranial hypertension), they can confidently perform the technique.

**Clinical bottom line**
- Lumbar puncture (LP) manometry is a simple addition to standard LP, which does not introduce additional risks.
- LP manometry provides essential information for the diagnosis of idiopathic intracranial hypertension and spontaneous intracranial hypotension.
- It may also provide useful information in the management of a range of other neurological conditions.
- Practitioners should be actively aware of factors influencing LP opening pressure in order to record accurate measurements and take efforts to minimise their impact.
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APPENDIX 1:

Equipment list

- Appropriate personal protective equipment including sterile gloves
- Sterile pack/field
- Cleaning solution (See local policies)
- Sterile gauze
- Dressing towel/drape
- LP needle +/- introducer for atraumatic needle (and spare) – diameter no smaller than 22G (0.7mm outer diameter), length sufficient for patient.
- Compatible manometer and 3-way-tap (and spare)
- Sterile plaster or dressing
- CSF and blood sample collection bottles
- Filter needle for drawing up lidocaine
- Syringe and needles for lidocaine administration
- Equipment for blood sampling
- Lidocaine
- Entonox
- Assistant for positioning patient (non-sterile)
- Assistant for sample collection (non-sterile)

Example procedure checklist

1. Confirm routine observations and GCS within acceptable parameters.
2. Ensure recent neuroimaging available to exclude radiological contraindications to LP.
   a. If signs of raised ICP evident on neuroimaging, review decision whether to proceed with LP with the patient’s Consultant.
3. Exclude other contraindications to LP including thrombocytopenia or abnormal coagulation profile in the context of suspected increased bleeding risk, for example with a personal or family history of bleeding, in the context of sepsis and haematological disorders, as well as in suspected renal or liver failure.
   a. Discuss with Haematology/Clotting specialist before LP if suspected bleeding risk and for patients on anticoagulant medications.
4. Review patient medication administration record / drug chart for medications and allergy history which may impact safety of LP e.g. low molecular weight heparins, warfarin, clopidogrel, direct oral anticoagulants.
5. Ensure laboratory are prepared to receive samples.
6. Confirm all members of medical/nursing/AHP/play teams present.
7. Team briefing
8. Check all equipment prepared and within expiry dates.
9. Offer patient opportunity to use toilet facilities prior to procedure.
11. Position patient, team members and equipment appropriately.
12. Inspection of patient’s back to ensure no obvious abnormal spinal anatomy, no superficial infection, and no gross contamination of skin (e.g. faecal matter, in which case the patient should be washed prior to proceeding).
13. “Time out” with re-confirmation of patient identity, procedure, required samples and re-confirmation of no other contraindications.
14. Take paired blood tests (if applicable)
15. Proceed with lumbar puncture (including local/general anaesthesia or sedation if applicable)
16. Collect CSF neurotransmitters (if applicable)
17. Measure opening pressure
18. Collect samples
19. Repeat opening pressure measurement
20. Remove further CSF as required
21. Repeat opening pressure measurement (closing pressure)
22. Confirm all samples required collected
23. Ensuring replacement of stylet, remove needle and apply sterile pressure dressing or equivalent.
24. “Sign out” with confirmation of completion of procedure, sample labelling, safe disposal of any sharp instruments and documentation of procedure.
25. Team debrief
26. Ensure samples transported correctly to laboratory
APPENDIX 2:

“Snapshot” measurements of lumbar pressure opening pressure are likely to be an over-estimate of the true ICP, which may mis-lead clinical management\[S1\]. Monitoring dynamic changes in CSF pressure ameliorates the impact of variation in CSF pressure over time and may give more accurate information. One study measured CSF pressure using lumbar puncture for as long as 60 minutes\[S2\]; clearly keeping a patient in the LP position for this long may be challenging. One article, however, was able to demonstrate a significant fall in CSF pressure over 20 minutes\[S3\] – a much more practical duration. The two previous studies additionally employed an electronic pressure transducer, eliminating the need to hold and take readings from a manometer column, making their approach more practical. Such apparatus also provides a real-time indication of CSF pressure and the amplitude of the pressure wave, aiding the interpretation of findings.

“CSF infusion studies” take this approach further, combining a transduced pressure measurement together with controlled infusion of fluid (usually over 20-30 minutes) into the subarachnoid space. Such techniques’ dynamic measurements provide detailed information regarding an individuals’ CSF circulation, such as the capacity of an individual to accommodate changes in CSF pressure (compliance)\[S3\].

The definitive means to measure intracranial pressure, however, remains invasive neurosurgical monitoring using an intraparenchymal pressure sensor, or transduced from an external ventricular drain. This enables recording of pressure, amplitude and waveform, but is not without risk of infection\[S4\], and is significantly invasive in comparison to lumbar puncture.


S2 Bono F, Salvino D, Tallarico T, Cristiano D, Condino F, Fera F, Lanza PL, Lavano A, Quattrone A. Abnormal pressure waves in headache sufferers with


Supplementary materials online: Video 1

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1GRNYVYJ2o6Fv7rdzRYwEVt3J3b6tm2PF/view