Salbutamol or aminophylline for acute severe asthma: how to choose which one, when and why?
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ABSTRACT
Acute, severe exacerbations of asthma present a challenge due to the significant morbidity associated with this presentation. For exacerbations that are refractory to initial treatments with inhaled and oral therapies, there is still doubt about which intravenous therapies are most likely to be helpful. β-2 agonists and aminophylline have differing mechanisms of action that also affect their adverse effects profiles and these are considered. A review of the available randomised control trials suggests that a bolus of intravenous salbutamol may reduce symptoms and hasten recovery. Aminophylline infusions may improve lung function, and in some studies have been shown to improve symptoms, but the evidence is not clear cut. Decisions about which treatment to use should include risk management considerations such as ease of prescription, preparation and administration factors and availability of high-dependency beds.

INTRODUCTION
The pathophysiology of asthma exacerbations is complex. Exposure to a trigger induces a complex interplay of factors, including eosinophil and mast cell degranulation and epithelial damage. These cause histamine, prostaglandin and leukotriene release. Continuing T cell and B cell differentiation and proliferation, promoted by cytokine release, perpetuate this cascade. Subsequent inflammation, bronchoconstriction and mucus production cause airway obstruction and impairment of gas exchange.

Although most children improve after inhaled bronchodilator by nebuliser or spacer, some require intravenous treatment. Magnesium sulfate is often used as the first-line intravenous therapy for such children. In children who require additional intravenous therapy, salbutamol and aminophylline are used in practice, but there is no clear consensus around which should be used first. In this paper, we aim to summarise the pharmacological basis of these agents and evidence from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) relating to their efficacy and safety.

PHARMACOLOGY OF β-2 AGONISTS
Salbutamol and terbutaline are similar β-2 adrenoceptor agonists that are believed to exert their maximal therapeutict effect through bronchodilation. Stimulation of β-2 receptors in airway smooth muscle induces the cyclic AMP (c-AMP) pathway. c-AMP is a molecule with various cellular functions. Increased activity of c-AMP-dependent protein kinase A inhibits myosin phosphorylation and lowers intracellular calcium concentration, which in turn relaxes smooth muscle and causes bronchodilation.

Increased intracellular c-AMP may also inhibit mast cell inflammatory mediator release (figure 1; adapted from refs. 5 and 6). Severe airway obstruction may restrict delivery of inhaled salbutamol to the airway epithelium, thus providing a theoretical rationale for the use of intravenous preparations. Because adrenoceptors are found in various organs and tissues, β-2 agonists can also cause various extrapulmonary adverse effects.

Pharmacokinetics
The half-life of salbutamol is 4–6 h, and it is excreted renally. The bronchodilatory effects of salbutamol are believed to occur at blood concentrations of between 5 and 20 ng/mL, and higher concentrations are thought to result in a greater risk of toxicity. There are limited data regarding the ideal dosing schedule for intravenous salbutamol in children.
A recent review commented on the relatively high doses per unit of weight advised for use in children compared with adult regimens and recommended further research into the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of intravenous salbutamol in the paediatric population.7

Cardiovascular effects
Salbutamol stimulates both β-1 and β-2 receptors in the heart and can reduce afterload through vasodilation and a drop in vascular resistance.8 9 These effects can cause significant tachycardia, postural hypotension and myocardial ischaemia.

Muscle tremors
Stimulation of β-2 receptors in skeletal muscle may cause tremors, which can be uncomfortable for children.

Metabolic effects of β-2 agonists
β-2 agonists can cause hypokalaemia, in a dose-dependent fashion, because β-2 receptors are linked to membrane bound Na+/K+ ATPase pumps. They can stimulate β-2 receptors in both pancreatic islet cells and hepatocytes, causing increased insulin secretion (which can exacerbate hypokalaemia) and increased glycogenolysis, respectively.10 11 Patients treated with intravenous salbutamol may develop lactic acidosis because of β-2-stimulated anaerobic glycolysis in muscle. This has been demonstrated in healthy subjects12 and has been observed in asthmatic individuals.13 14 There are reports of the subsequent metabolic acidosis contributing to a perception of increased respiratory distress with a concomitant and unnecessary treatment escalation or continuation. There do not seem to be reports of the lactic acidosis having any directly harmful consequences.

Tolerance
There is evidence that regular use of inhaled β-2 agonists can modify the response of the β-2 receptor resulting in reduced efficacy.15 This may make the use of intravenous salbutamol less beneficial in some cases, but the clinical significance of this finding in the management of acute exacerbations of asthma remains unclear.

PHARMACOLOGY OF AMINOPHYLLINE
Aminophylline is a methylated xanthine derivative. It is a combination of theophylline (the active component) and ethylenediamine, which is a compound that increases the solubility of theophylline but has no known intrinsic pharmacological effects.

The mechanisms of action of aminophylline are not completely understood. Beneficial effects may result from both bronchodilation and reduced airway hyper-sensitivity, but the extent to which each mechanism confers benefit at therapeutic doses is unclear.16 17 Effective use of the drug also requires a consideration of its pharmacokinetics.

Pharmacokinetics
Aminophylline has a narrow therapeutic range, and the associations between elevated levels and unwanted effects are important to consider. The bronchodilator
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration details</th>
<th>Aminophylline</th>
<th>Salbutamol infusion</th>
<th>Salbutamol bolus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reconstitution Dilution</td>
<td>Yes, suggested concentrations 1 mg/mL</td>
<td>High: narrow therapeutic index drug</td>
<td>No, solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has been used neat (25 mg/mL) in fluid restriction (central line preferred as highly irritant)</td>
<td>Yes for loading dose</td>
<td>Yes, suggested concentration of 200 μg/mL for central line and 10–20 μg/mL for peripheral line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation complexity</td>
<td>Yes: multistep calculation for dilution and rate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes, suggested concentration of 200 mg/mL for central line and 10–20 mg/mL for peripheral line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic risk</td>
<td>Yes: for loading dose</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Has been used neat (1 mg/mL) in fluid restriction (central line only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to use part vials</td>
<td>Yes/no: for maintenance infusion depending on house recommendations</td>
<td>Also for larger patients need to use multiple vials to avoid multiple bag changes</td>
<td>Yes: multistep calculation for dilution and rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different strengths available</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Conversion between milligrams and micrograms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for infusion pump</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Conversion between hours and minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Loading dose and maintenance rate will have different rates and potentially different concentrations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk score</td>
<td>Stable for 24 h once diluted</td>
<td>Amber: moderate risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid compatibility</td>
<td>Stable for 24 h once diluted</td>
<td>Amber: moderate risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive</td>
<td>Sodium chloride 0.9% and 0.45%</td>
<td>Sodium chloride 0.9% and 0.45%</td>
<td>Sodium chloride 0.9% and 0.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dextrose 5%</td>
<td>Dextrose 5%</td>
<td>Dextrose 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination of the above</td>
<td>Combination of the above</td>
<td>Combination of the above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination of the above with up to 20 mmol/500 mL of potassium chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-site</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aminophylline is alkaline (avoid acidic drugs)</td>
<td>Salbutamol is acidic (avoid alkaline drugs)</td>
<td>Salbutamol is acidic (avoid alkaline drugs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levels</td>
<td>30 min after completion of loading dose</td>
<td>Yes: potassium, recommended twice daily</td>
<td>Yes: potassium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At least daily thereafter</td>
<td>Yes: blood glucose, recommended twice daily</td>
<td>Yes: blood glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6–12 h after rate changes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U&amp;Es</td>
<td>Yes: potassium at least daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A&E, accident and emergency; BNF, British National Formulary; HDU, high-dependency unit; U&E, urea and electrolytes.
effects of theophylline are proportional to the log of its concentration—in other words, increasing theophylline plasma concentration causes a less than proportional increase in bronchodilation, such that levels higher than 20 mg/L are unlikely to offer additional therapeutic benefit but will increase the risk of toxicity. Furthermore, clearance rates are affected by factors including age and the use of cytochrome P450 inducers and inhibitors. This makes careful dosing and regular assessments of serum theophylline levels crucial.

Mechanism of bronchodilation
Aminophylline may cause bronchodilation through effects on c-AMP by inhibiting certain phosphodiesterase enzymes (figure 1). Phosphodiesterases degrade intracellular c-AMP molecules, so their inhibition may result in increased levels of intracellular c-AMP and subsequent airway smooth muscle relaxation.23 Interestingly, however, the degree of phosphodiesterase inhibition is not particularly significant at therapeutically relevant theophylline concentrations.19 Furthermore, other drugs that inhibit phosphodiesterases more significantly are not thought to have significant bronchodilator effects at therapeutic doses (ie, roflumilast, cilomilast). Other hypotheses around the bronchodilator effects of aminophylline are that it can act by blocking adenosine receptors (adenosine has little effect on human airway muscle in vitro but can cause bronchoconstriction in asthmatic subjects when given by inhalation) and that it induces catecholamine release with subsequent adrenergic stimulation.20 It is possible, therefore, that aminophylline acts on more pathways than salbutamol.

Immunomodulation
Other benefits in asthma exacerbations may relate to immunomodulation and anti-inflammatory effects. Long-term use of oral theophylline can reduce the numbers and activity of eosinophils in bronchial mucosa.21 Aminophylline might also exert anti-inflammatory effects by enhancing neutrophil apoptosis via adenosine receptor antagonism22 or by inhibiting histones required for activation of inflammatory gene transcription.23 These effects can occur at low or supratherapeutic plasma theophylline concentrations.

Seizures
In case series, aminophylline has been implicated in the development of seizures in children, some of whom have not had underlying epilepsy, and in some cases theophylline levels remained within the recommended therapeutic range.24–26 Although the mechanism for this is unknown, it has been proposed that aminophylline may modulate the brain’s usual seizure threshold through blocking adenosine receptors.27

Cardiac effects
Tachycardia is a common dose-dependent side effect of aminophylline and oral theophylline.25 In adults, theophylline has been implicated in the development of serious atrial tachyarrhythmias. It is not clear to what extent this risk extends to the paediatric population.

Vomiting
Vomiting is a common adverse effect of aminophylline and theophylline. It can happen at any plasma concentration, but is more common at supratherapeutic levels.25

RCTS OF SALBUTAMOL (OR TERBUTALINE) AND AMINOPHYLLINE
We identified RCTs that assessed the use of intravenous salbutamol, terbutaline or aminophylline in the paediatric population after searching the Cochrane register of controlled trials. The identified trials have been summarised in a series of tables (see online supplementary tables S1–3), and the main findings are outlined in the text below.

In three RCTs, intravenous salbutamol or terbutaline therapy have been compared with either placebo or nebulised treatment in a total of 130 children with acute asthma. Two of these21,22 were conducted in the emergency department (ED) and assessed the efficacy of a bolus of salbutamol, and one23 assessed the efficacy of a terbutaline infusion in a paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) setting.

Only one trial21 reported benefit with regards to the assessment of clinical severity. One trial showed no difference, and in one trial the outcome was not reported. No trials reported lung function outcomes. Neither of the trials conducted in ED reported the rates of admission to PICU. Length of hospital stay was improved in the group receiving intravenous salbutamol in one trial conducted in ED,22 but was not reported in the other.21 Two of these studies were included in a Cochrane review,28 which concluded that there was very little evidence to support the addition of intravenous salbutamol to nebulised therapy in children with acute asthma exacerbations.

Aminophylline has been compared with placebo or usual treatment (one study compared a control group who were managed with continuous nebulised albuterol, inhaled ipratropium and intravenous methylprednisolone with an aminophylline group given the above plus intravenous aminophylline) in children with acute asthma exacerbations in 12 RCTs involving 586 children.24–26 Three were conducted in ED, seven on hospital wards, one on PICU and in one study reported as a conference abstract the setting was unclear.

Three trials found that aminophylline improved clinical severity scores, but six did not. Three trials did not report this outcome. Two trials showed improved lung function scores, two did not and eight did not report this outcome. One trial showed that aminophylline reduced PICU admission rates, but...
none of the other studies reported any results for this outcome. No trials have found any benefit of aminophylline on length of hospital or PICU stay (seven found no difference, and five did not report this outcome). Also, 7 of these 12 trials have been included in a Cochrane review. The review concluded that intravenous aminophylline improved lung function within 6 h of treatment, but did not appear to reduce symptoms or length of hospital stay, and there was insufficient evidence to evaluate its impact on PICU rates.

Intravenous aminophylline and salbutamol (or terbutaline) have been compared, head-to-head, in four RCTs involving 202 children. Two were conducted in hospital wards, and one in PICU. In one RCT, reported as a conference abstract, the setting was unclear.

In the three trials that reported results for clinical severity scores, there was no difference between salbutamol and aminophylline. No studies reported lung function outcomes. In the one study reporting PICU admission rates, there was no difference between aminophylline and salbutamol. In two studies reporting length of hospital stay, there was no difference between groups, and in two studies this outcome was not reported. These paediatric studies have been included in a subgroup analysis in a Cochrane review. The review concluded that there was no consistent evidence to help decide between aminophylline and salbutamol as the first-line intravenous therapy of choice.

It is difficult to draw clear conclusions from RCTs about the relative safety profiles of the two treatments. There is wide variability in the assessment and reporting of adverse effects associated with the two treatments in clinical trials. It would appear that salbutamol does carry a risk of cardiovascular adverse effects. In one study, 6/25 children treated with terbutaline had raised troponin-I levels and one was withdrawn because of arrhythmia. In one trial comparing salbutamol with aminophylline, the group receiving salbutamol demonstrated a significant trend towards tachycardia. Nausea and vomiting were the most commonly reported adverse effects associated with the use of intravenous aminophylline, as demonstrated in several trials and in a Cochrane review. In the trials involving intravenous aminophylline assessed for this review, there was only one reported case of a seizure.

SUMMARY
Salbutamol and aminophylline cause bronchodilation in airways of children with exacerbations of asthma. Both agents probably work by inducing the c-AMP pathway, which reduces intracellular calcium concentrations, thereby relaxing airway smooth muscle.

Multiple choice questions

1. Which process is not a factor in the pathophysiology of an acute exacerbation of asthma?
   A. bronchoconstriction
   B. vasoconstriction
   C. mucus formation
   D. inflammation
   E. mast cell degranulation.

2. Which effect has not been suggested as a potential mechanism of action for aminophylline?
   A. bronchodilation through inhibition of airway smooth muscle cell phosphodiesterases and subsequent increases in intracellular c-AMP concentrations;
   B. bronchodilation via blockade of pulmonary adenosine receptors;
   C. reduction of eosinophil activity in bronchial mucosa;
   D. reduction of mucosal secretions through inhibition of epithelial sodium transporter channels;
   E. inhibition of histones required for activation of inflammatory gene transcription.

3. Which adverse effect is not associated with the use of intravenous salbutamol?
   A. lactic acidosis
   B. hypokalaemia
   C. tremor
   D. myocardial ischaemia
   E. hyponatraemia.

4. Which adverse effect is not associated with the use of intravenous aminophylline?
   A. seizures
   B. nausea
   C. hypertrichosis
   D. tachycardia
   E. headache.

5. Which statement is true?
   A. Aminophylline has a narrow therapeutic index, but reliable serum levels can always be achieved by following recommended dosing guidelines.
   B. If adverse effects occur with the use of intravenous aminophylline, the serum levels will be above the recommended limits.
   C. If serum theophylline levels are below the advised limits, the rate of the infusion should be increased immediately.
   D. If a patient takes oral theophylline, they should not receive a loading dose of intravenous aminophylline.
   E. The ethylenediamine compounds attached to theophylline in order to make aminophylline are thought to contribute to its bronchodilatory effects.

Answers are on page 222.
Aminophylline may have additional mechanisms of action, which are poorly understood. Both agents also have extrapulmonary adverse effects, which can be dangerous or distressing to children.

The evidence from RCTs for the intravenous administration of either drug to children during an asthma exacerbation is minimal and inconsistent. A bolus of intravenous salbutamol may reduce symptoms and hasten recovery.® Aminophylline infusions may improve lung function, and in some studies have been shown to improve symptoms, but this finding is not replicated in all studies. It is unlikely that either agent reduces PICU admission rates or length of hospital stay, but these evaluations are hampered because many studies do not report these outcomes. Adverse effects were noted with the use of both treatments, but the available evidence does not enable comparison of the likelihood of adverse effects with either treatment.

Despite the minimal evidence of benefits from RCTs, intravenous therapy probably does have a role in managing certain children with either refractory or severe exacerbations of asthma, and those who have previously required PICU admission. Variation of practice at individual clinician and departmental level is likely to continue with regards which of these agents should be used first. Given that intravenous agents are likely to remain in widespread use, we suggest that the choice should take into account factors such as ease of prescription, preparation and administration (which have direct implications for risk management), availability of high-dependency beds and nursing preference. These factors are summarised in table 1.

Whichever therapy is used, we would advocate that children are assessed for objective markers of improvement of clinical status after the initial loading dose bolus to evaluate whether they really need to be treated with a subsequent infusion or not. We would also stress the importance of stringent, routine monitoring of the adverse effects that we have highlighted. Current uncertainty about these therapies, including guidelines on how drug levels and adverse effects should be monitored in children, must be addressed in future research studies.
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